

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 19, 20, 38-40, 44, 45, 109 and 141-163 presently appear in this case. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 19, 20, 38-40, 44, 45, 52 and 109 have been allowed. The present amendment is being made in conjunction with the filing of an RCE in order to place the case in better condition for further examination. Prompt consideration of the present amendment and passage of this case to issue again is respectfully urged.

In the Notice of Allowance and Examiner's Amendment of October 18, 2010, the examiner deleted non-elected material. It is understood that it was the intent of the examiner to allow the elected species where the iron chelating moiety is 8-hydroxyquinoline but to delete the species requiring the other formulae. However, it appears that the examiner should not have deleted claims 7-18 and 21-23 or claims 45 and 47-51, because these depend from allowed claims and are drawn to allowed species. Accordingly, in the present amendment, previously appearing claims 7-18 and 21-23 have been reinserted as new claims 141-155, and previously deleted claims 45 and 47-51 have been replaced by new claims 156-163.

In addition, it appears from the complete translation of the Shatemirova et al. publication, already of record, that the compound designated M30 in the present specification is disclosed in that publication. Accordingly,

Appln. No. 10/534,357
Amdt. dated January 18, 2011

the present claims are also amended to delete the situation in which R_3 is $-N(CH_3)-X$, which defined the compound M30.

Accordingly, it is believed that all of the present claims remain patentable over the prior art and are drawn the elected species. Reconsideration and allowance therefore earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By /rlb/
Roger L. Browdy
Registration No. 25,618

RLB:jhw
Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528
G:\BN\B\BENA\YOU DIM1.1A\Pto\2011-01-18Amendment.doc